

Problem with Previous Approaches

Static Name Binding

Handled in a number of ways in semantic specifications:

- Lexical scope: type substitution, type environments
- Stateful references: reference types, store typings
- Structured memory: class tables

Lack of uniform model

val x = 31; val y = \dot{x} + 11; **Statically resolved** variable

Dynamic Memory

- Handled in a number of ways in semantic specifications:
- Lexical scope: substitution, environments, de Bruijn, HOAS
- Stateful references: Mutable stores, heaps
- Structured memory: Mutable values for records, objects

Lack of uniform model



Dynamic lookup of value



Our Solution

Scope Graphs [ESOP'15]

Nodes of scope graphs represent three basic notions derived from the program abstract syntax tree:

- Scopes ()) and edges () between scopes
- Declarations $(\rightarrow \square)$
- References ($\square \rightarrow$)
- Static resolution paths (

Uniform model



Scoped AST and resolved scope graph

Frames and Heaps

We propose frames as a language-independent model for dynamic memory. The model is based on these notions:

- *Frames* (\square) and *links* (\square) between frames
- *Heap:* a frame graph
- *Dynamic lookup* (): static resolution path

interpreted relative to the "current" frame

Uniform model



Dynamic lookup using static resolution path

Static Binding matches Dynamic Behavior







Memory Invariants





Good Heap

All frames are well-bound and well-typed



Theorem (Type Soundness). For a well-bound and well-typed program in a good heap, evaluation gives a well-typed value and good heap

For a good heap $X = A \cup B$, if nothing in B is referenced from A, then A is a good heap (B can be safely garbage collected).

